IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

THURSDAY, THE 6TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 15TH BHADRA 1929

RP.No. 822 of 2007(I)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.31798/2006 Dated 08/12/2006

.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/2ND RESPONDENT:

MRS. P.S.JESIA,
STAR COTTAGE, COCHIN-682 035.

BY ADV. SRI.C.K.KARUNAKARAN

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER:

---

1. MR.R.O. ABDUL KALAM,
OOROTHU HOUSE, THRIKKADU VILLAGE,
ALUVAYI (P.O), THRISSUR DISTRICT.

2. THE FEDERAL BANK LTD.,
HEAD OFFICE, ALUVA, ERNAKULAM.

3. MR. M.A. SADIQUE,
STAR COTTAGE, COCHIN-35.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN
R2 BY ADV. SRI. B.S. KRISHNAN, SC FEDERAL BANK
R3 BY ADV. SRI. JAJU BABU

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 06/09/2007, ALONG WITH RP NO. 568 OF 2007 THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,J.

R.P. NOs. 822 & 568 OF 2007

Dated this the 6th day of September, 2007

O R D E R

Heard counsel for the review petitioner in both the review petitions. After hearing review petitioners and respondents therein I am convinced that the facts stated in the judgment were not correct. In fact, borrowers themselves were not made parties and respondents 2 and 3 in the WPC were only guarantors like the petitioner in the WPC. Since the judgment is obtained without stating full facts, review petitions are liable to be allowed and accordingly review petitions are allowed recalling the judgment.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR)
Judge